

REMARKS

The claims are 8 to 15.

The above amendment presents a new set of claims responsive to points set forth in Official Action and also makes a self-explanatory correction to the specification.

Support for the new claims is evident from pages 6 to 9 of the specification as well as from the original claims.

Claims 1-7 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)/(e) as being anticipated by Ono et al. (JP2001-202,995 or equivalent US 6,727,023).

Further, claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hsiue et al. (US 5,091,274).

These rejections are respectfully traversed.

None of the cited references discloses or suggests the specific chemical structures regarding the ion-conductive liquid crystalline composite of the present invention or its method of production.


Accordingly, these rejections are untenable and should be withdrawn.

No further issues remaining, allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner has any comments or proposals for expediting prosecution, please contact undersigned at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Takashi KATO et al.

By: 
Matthew M. Jacob
Registration No. 25,154
Attorney for Applicants

MJ/aas
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
March 1, 2007